Case 21-22166-JAD Doc 100 Filed 06/19/25 Entered 06/20/25 00:28:46 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 1 of 7

> FILED 6/17/25 2:35 pm CLERK U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT - WDPA

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

IN RE:) Chapter 13
JULIUS HAYWOOD,) Case No. 21-22166-JAD
Debtor.)) RE: ECF No. 90 _ X
JULIUS HAYWOOD,)
Movant,)
v.	
U.S. BANK NATIONAL	,)
ASSOCIATION, NOT IN ITS	j
INDIVIDUAL CAPACITY BUT	j
SOLELY AS TRUSTEE OF NRZ	j
PASS-THROUGH TRUST XI C/O	j
FAY SERVICING, LLC,)
Respondent.)) X

ORDER VACATING DEFAULT JUDGMENT, SETTING RESPONSE DEAD-LINE, REOPENING DISCOVERY, SCHEDULING EVIDENTIARY HEARING, AND GIVING NOTICE OF RULE 11 OBLIGATIONS

Upon consideration of the Debtor's *Motion to Reconsider Order Granting Relief from Stay* filed at ECF No. 90, regarding the Default Order previously entered in favor of U.S. Bank National Association, not in its individual capacity but solely as trustee of NRZ Pass-Through Trust XI c/o Fay Servicing, LLC (the "Lender"), and it appearing that the original order was entered as a default due to the Debtor's failure to timely oppose the underlying Motion for Relief from Stay, and the Court being advised that the Debtor now seeks to present a substantive defense on the merits, and further it appearing that:

- A. Default judgments, including default relief orders, are **disfavored** in federal court practice. See Harad v. Aenta Cas. & Sur. Co., 839 F.2d 979, 982 (3d Cir. 1988)([t]he Third Circuit recognizes the "policy disfavoring default judgments and encouraging decisions on the merits."); see also Budget Blinds, Inc. v. White, 536 F.3d 244, 258 (3d Cir. 2008) ("[D]efault judgments are generally disfavored in our circuit."); Hritz v. Woma Corp., 732 F.2d 1178, 1181 (3d Cir. 1984) ("[W]e have repeatedly stated our preference that cases be disposed of on the merits whenever practicable.").
- B. There is a strong judicial policy favoring resolution of disputes on their merits, especially where there has been no substantial prejudice to the non-defaulting party and a potentially meritorious defense is asserted. See Cantwell, 17 B.R. 639, 641, In re n.3 (Bankr.E.D.Pa.1982) (citing cases); In re Main, 111 B.R. 535 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1990) (default judgment refused despite one month delay in answer where no prejudice and meritorious defense shown in answer).
- C. The Debtor has asserted that the Lender is not a secured creditor with a valid mortgage interest in the real property at issue, although the Lender has produced a recorded mortgage to rebut that assertion.

Accordingly, and for good cause shown, it is hereby **ORDERED**, **AD-JUDGED**, **and DECREED** as follows:

- 1. The Default Order Granting Relief from the Automatic Stay, filed at ECF No. 88 is hereby **VACATED** to permit adjudication of the contested matter on the merits, consistent with the policy favoring resolution of disputes through adversarial process.
- 2. The Debtor shall file and serve a formal **response or objection** to the Motion for Relief from Stay within **seven (7) days** of the date of this Order.

- 3. The parties may undertake reasonable and proportional discovery with respect to the issues raised in the motion and any defenses, consistent with the procedures under applicable rules and local rules. Discovery shall be completed within **60 days** of the date of this Order.
- The Court will conduct an in-person evidentiary hearing/trial on the Motion for Relief from Stay and any asserted defenses on Tuesday, July 22, 2025 at 10:00 AM, in Courtroom D, 54th Floor U.S. Steel Tower, 600 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15219.
- 5. By no later than **July 15, 2025**, the parties shall (a) exchange witness lists identifying witnesses the parties intend to call at trial, and (b) exchange exhibits and other evidence that such parties intend to utilize at trial (regardless of whether they intend to offer them into evidence). After the exchange of witness lists and proposed exhibits and other evidence, counsel to the parties are directed to meet and confer (either in-person or by use of remote technologies) to resolve potential evidentiary objections to the same. By no later than **July 18, 2025**, counsel for each party shall file a *Joint Status Report* which (a) summarizes the dates and times in which counsel conferred, (b) identifies whether counsel obtained (or attempted to obtain) a good faith resolution of evidentiary objections, and (c) identifies and describes all agreements regarding the admissibility and/or use of exhibits at trial, and where objections still exist identifies and describes the factual and legal basis of any remaining evidentiary objection to be addressed at trial.
- 6. By no later than **July 18, 2025**, Pretrial Statements of the parties shall be filed on the docket, with 2 duplicate copies furnished to Chambers, and served upon opposing counsel and shall include:
 - a. Witness List. A list of the witnesses anticipated to testify at trial.

- b. <u>Exhibits</u>. Includes all documents or materials that a party anticipates using at trial. Exhibits shall be premarked in a binder (Movant's exhibits marked as "Movant No. __" and Respondent's exhibits marked as "Respondent No. __"), indexed, and tabbed for ease of use at trial.
- c. <u>Statement of Uncontested Facts</u>. A statement of facts to which there is no genuine dispute.
- d. <u>Statement of facts which are in dispute</u>. No facts should be disputed unless opposing counsel expects to present contrary evidence on the point at trial, or genuinely challenges the disputed fact on credibility grounds. The Pretrial Statement should identify which material facts are in dispute, and the basis of such dispute.
- e. Statement of what the parties intend to prove. A statement of what the party intends to prove at trial, including the basis for seeking relief from the automatic stay, any defenses thereto, valuation of collateral, and the extent and/or validity of any asserted liens or property interests.
- f. Applicable law governing claims and defenses including burdens of proof. For the relief being pursued (or any defenses thereto), identify the following: (i) the constitutional, statutory, regulatory, and decisional authorities being relied upon for each position; (ii) the elements which must be satisfied to prevail on each claim for relief or defense; and (iii) identify which party bears the burden of production and/or proof on each element, and if any presumptions arise concerning such elements then identify such presumptions. Also list any additional legal issues (e.g., presumptions, affirmative defenses, etc.) that will be relevant to the court's disposition of the matter, the

Case 21-22166-JAD Doc 100 Filed 06/19/25 Entered 06/20/25 00:28:46 Description Description

authority pertinent to each legal issue, and the party which bears

the burden or production and/or proof on the issue.

g. The Court may impose appropriate sanctions (including monetary

sanctions, preclusion of evidence, dismissal of the motion with prej-

udice, or entry of judgment in favor of the non-offending party) for

any unexcused failure to comply with this Order or other Court or-

ders. The parties and their counsel shall govern themselves accord-

ingly.

7. Pending further Order of the Court, the automatic stay shall remain in

effect.

8. Counsel for the Debtor is advised that the production by the Mo-

vant/Lender of a recorded mortgage raises serious questions as to the fac-

tual and legal basis for the Debtor's asserted defense. Pursuant to Bank-

ruptcy Rule 9011, which incorporates the provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 11,

counsel is on **notice** that any defense presented must be grounded in fact

and law. If it is determined that the defense lacks evidentiary or legal sup-

port or is otherwise frivolous or asserted for an improper purpose, the

Court may impose appropriate sanctions, including but not limited to

monetary penalties and/or referral for disciplinary review.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: June 3, 2025

The Honorable Jeffery A. Deller

United States Bankruptcy Judge

Case 21-22166-JAD Doc 100 Filed 06/19/25 Entered 06/20/25 00:28:46 Des Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 6 of 7

United States Bankruptcy Court Western District of Pennsylvania

In re: Case No. 21-22166-JAD

Julius Haywood Chapter 13

Debtor

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0315-2 User: auto Page 1 of 2
Date Rcvd: Jun 17, 2025 Form ID: pdf900 Total Noticed: 1

The following symbols are used throughout this certificate:

Symbol Definition

+ Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP, adding the last four digits to complete the zip +4, or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS

regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Jun 19, 2025:

Recipi ID Recipient Name and Address

db + Julius Haywood, 1418 Wesley Street, Pittsburgh, PA 15221-1851

TOTAL: 1

 $Notice \ by \ electronic \ transmission \ was \ sent \ to \ the \ following \ persons/entities \ by \ the \ Bankruptcy \ Noticing \ Center.$

Electronic transmission includes sending notices via email (Email/text and Email/PDF), and electronic data interchange (EDI).

NONE

BYPASSED RECIPIENTS

The following addresses were not sent this bankruptcy notice due to an undeliverable address, *duplicate of an address listed above, *P duplicate of a preferred address, or ## out of date forwarding orders with USPS.

NONE

NOTICE CERTIFICATION

I, Gustava Winters, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed .R. Bank. P.2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Jun 19, 2025 Signature: /s/Gustava Winters

CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on June 17, 2025 at the address(es) listed below:

Name Email Address

Danielle Boyle-Ebersole

on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank National Association not in its individual capacity but solely as trustee of NRZ Pass-Through

Trust XI dboyle-ebersole@hoflawgroup.com, ckohn@hoflawgroup.com

Denise Carlon

on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank National Association not in its individual capacity but solely as Trustee for the NRZ

dcarlon@kmllawgroup.com

Denise Carlon

on behalf of Creditor U.S. Bank Trust National Association not in its individual capacity, but solely as Trustee for the NRZ

Pass-Through Trust XVIII dcarlon@kmllawgroup.com

Jeffrey Hunt

on behalf of Creditor Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC ecfpeoples@grblaw.com PNGbankruptcy@peoples-gas.com

Jeffrey R. Hunt

on behalf of Creditor County of Allegheny jhunt@grblaw.com

Case 21-22166-JAD Doc 100 Filed 06/19/25 Entered 06/20/25 00:28:46 Desc Imaged Certificate of Notice Page 7 of 7

District/off: 0315-2 User: auto Page 2 of 2
Date Rcvd: Jun 17, 2025 Form ID: pdf900 Total Noticed: 1

Jeffrey R. Hunt

on behalf of Defendant County Of Allegheny jhunt@grblaw.com

Jeffrey R. Hunt

on behalf of Creditor Pittsburgh Water & Sewer Authority jhunt@grblaw.com

Jennifer L. Cerce

on behalf of Defendant Borough of Wilkinsburg jlc@mbm-law.net

Jennifer L. Cerce

on behalf of Defendant Wilkinsburg School District jlc@mbm-law.net

Jennifer L. Cerce

on behalf of Creditor Wilkinsburg School District and Wilkinsburg Borough jlc@mbm-law.net

Karina Velter

on behalf of Creditor NRZ Pass-Through Trust II U.S. Bank National Association as trustee c/o Fay Servicing, LLC

karina.velter@powerskirn.com, Kaitlyn.Pemper@powerskirn.com

Keri P. Ebeck

on behalf of Creditor City of Pittsburgh and School District of Pittsburgh kebeck@bernsteinlaw.com

btemple@bernsteinlaw.com; aepiscopo@bernsteinlaw.com; kebeck@ecf.courtdrive.com; agilbert@bernsteinlaw.com; aepiscopo@bernsteinlaw.com; kebeck@ecf.courtdrive.com; agilbert@bernsteinlaw.com; aepiscopo@bernsteinlaw.com; aepisc

Laurence A. Mester

on behalf of Creditor Capital One Auto Finance a division of Capital One, N.A., c/o AIS Portfolio Services, LP

 $lmester@\,mesterschwartz.com, jschwartz@\,mesterschwartz.com$

Laurence A. Mester

on behalf of Creditor Capital One Auto Finance lmester@mesterschwartz.com jschwartz@mesterschwartz.com

Michael S. Geisler

on behalf of Debtor Julius Haywood m.s.geisler@att.net

msge is@yahoo.com; michaelge isler 13@gmail.com; r52973@notify.best case.com

Michael S. Geisler

on behalf of Plaintiff Julius Haywood m.s.geisler@att.net

msgeis@yahoo.com;michaelgeisler13@gmail.com;r52973@notify.bestcase.com

Office of the United States Trustee

ustpregion03.pi.ecf@usdoj.gov

Ronda J. Winnecour

cmecf@chapter13trusteewdpa.com

S. James Wallace

on behalf of Creditor Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC ecfpeoples@grblaw.com PNGbankruptcy@peoples-gas.com

TOTAL: 19